Haringey Council

## Regulatory Committee

TUESDAY, 29TH MAY, 2012 at 19:00 HRS - CIVIC CENTRE, HIGH ROAD, WOOD GREEN, N22 8LE.

MEMBERS: Councillors Basu, Beacham, Brabazon, Christophides, Demirci, Ejiofor, Mallett, McNamara, Peacock, Reid, Schmitz, Scott and Solomon

Subject to confirmation at Annual Council, 21 May 2012

## AGENDA

## 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

## 2. URGENT BUSINESS

The Chair will consider the admission of any late items of urgent business. Late items will be dealt with under the agenda item where they appear. New items will be dealt with at item 10 below.

## 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

A member with a personal interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the authority at which the matter is considered must disclose to that meeting the existence and nature of that interest at the commencement of that consideration, or when the interest becomes apparent.

A member with a personal interest in a matter also has a prejudicial interest in that matter if the interest is one which a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the member's judgement of the public interest and if this interest affects their financial position or the financial position of a person or body as described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct and/or if it relates to the determination of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to them or any person or body described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct.

## 4. MINUTES (PAGES 1-8)

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 12 April 2012.
5. ESTABLISHMENT OF LICENSING SUB COMMITTEES (PAGES 9-24)

To establish two Licensing Sub Committees to conduct the majority of licensing hearings, and to note the provisions for the appointment of substitutes.
6. DECISIONS MADE UNDER DELEGATED POWERS BETWEEN 26 MARCH 2012 AND 13 MAY 2012 (PAGES 25-60)

To inform the Regulatory Committee of decisions made under delegated powers by the Head of Development Management and the Chair of the above Regulatory Committee.
7. APPEAL DECISIONS DETERMINED DURING MARCH AND APRIL 2012 (PAGES 61-68)

To advise the Regulatory Committee of appeal decisions determined by the Department for Communities and Local Government during March and April 2012.
8. DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT, BUILDING CONTROL AND PLANNING ENFORCEMENT WORK REPORT (PAGES 69-88)

To advise the Regulatory Committee of performance statistics on Development Management, Building Control and Planning Enforcement.
9. PLANNING ENFORCEMENT UPDATE - YEAR REPORT 2011-12 (PAGES 89104)

To inform Members on Planning Enforcement's progress in maintaining service delivery 2011/12.
10. NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS

To consider any new items of urgent business admitted under agenda item 2 above.

David McNulty
Head of Local Democracy and Member Services
Level 5, River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ

Helen Chapman
Principal Committee Coordinator
Level 5, River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ
Tel: 02084892615
Email:
helen.chapman@haringey.gov.uk
Monday, 21 May 2012
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MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

Councillors Basu, Beacham, Brabazon, Demirci (Chair), Egan, Erskine, Hare, Mallett, Rice, Schmitz and Waters

Apologies Councillor Scott and Councillor Peacock

| Also Present: | Marc Dorfman (Assistant Director, Planning, Regeneration and |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Economy), Paul Smith (Head of Development Management), Daliah |
|  | Barrett (Lead Licensing Officer), Tracy Duguid (Legal Services), Myles |
|  | Joyce (Planning Enforcement Team Leader) and Helen Chapman |
|  | (Clerk) |

MINUTE
NO.

SUBJECT/DECISION
ACTION
BY

| REG39. | APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE <br> Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Peacock, for whom CIIr <br> Egan was substituting, and from Cllr Scott. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REG40. | URGENT BUSINESS <br> There were no new items of urgent business. |  |
| REG41. | DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST <br> There were no declarations of interest. | RESOLVED <br> That the minutes of the meeting held on 21 February 2012 be approved <br> and signed by the Chair. <br> Matters arising <br> MEG42. <br> Cllr Schmitz confirmed that he had circulated the information on the <br> recovery of Housing Benefit paid for unlicensed HMOs, as agreed at the <br> previous meeting. <br> It was confirmed that s106 payments had been received in respect of <br> both Winns Mews and Hale Village. <br> In response to a question from the Committee regarding the issue of <br> overage, no firm figures could be provided, but the Committee was <br> advised that current sales were moving towards the point at which <br> overage would be triggered, although last year's riots had had an impact <br> on sales. |
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## MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE <br> THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

## REG43. LATE NIGHT LEVY PROPOSAL

Daliah Barrett presented a briefing on the consultation issued by the Home Office on the Late Night Levy and Early Morning Restriction Orders. Subsequent to the publication of the agenda for the meeting, it had been decided to freeze the introduction of any Levy until 2013, although the consultation would still take place. Ms Barrett gave an outline of other proposed changes to Licensing legislation to take effect from 25 April 2012, although secondary guidance was still awaited, which would provide details:

- Licensing Authorities to become responsible authorities in their own right. A report would be brought to the Committee proposing an amendment to the Scheme of Delegations accordingly.
- The Director of Public Health to become a responsible authority.
- Abolition of interested parties - anybody would be entitled to make representations on licensing applications, although vexatious or frivolous representations could be rejected.
- The wording 'appropriate to promote the licensing objectives' to replace the previous 'necessary to promote the licensing objectives' as part of the guidance to Licensing Sub Committees on modifying conditions or rejecting the whole or part of the application.
- The Licensing Authority to be responsible for advertising all licensing applications.
- TENs could be for up to 7 days, with Environmental Health having the right of veto. Contested TENs applications to be brought to a Sub Committee for determination.
- Increased penalties for those persistently selling alcohol to underage persons.
- The Licensing Authority to have the power to suspend licences for non-payment of fees.
- Licensing Policies to last for 5 years rather than the current 3 years; the existing Policy to be updated to reflect The Government's Alcohol Strategy, produced in 2012.
- Sub Committees to be required to take into account reasonable representations from the Police.
- It would now be legitimate to set fixed / staggered closing hours for an area.
- Applicants to be required to give greater consideration to the local area.

In response to concerns raised by the Committee, it was clarified that these were changes from Government, which the Licensing Authority had no discretion to approve or reject. It was confirmed that, as soon as detailed guidance was received, a full training session would be held for Members on the legislative changes.

Ms Barrett spoke to the Late Night Levy consultation document, which had been circulated with the agenda pack. The Late Night Levy would allow the licensing authority to charge any premises with a licence to serve alcohol between 0000 and 0600. Feedback from licensees in the
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## MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE

THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

$\left.$|  | borough was that this would be unaffordable for them, and several had <br> indicated that they would give up their licences completely. It was <br> reported that a clause was proposed that, were a borough to approve <br> the introduction of a Late Night Levy, premises would be given the option <br> to give up their licences for the hours affected by the levy, in order to <br> avoid being liable for payment. This process would be managed at the <br> Council's expense. <br> In response to a question from the Committee, it was confirmed that the <br> Levy would not affect private parties, but that a community hall with a <br> licence for alcohol past midnight would be required to pay the levy. It <br> was confirmed that the levy would be payable by any premises with a <br> licence to serve alcohol after 0000, but would not apply to premises <br> whose usual licensing hours did not go past 0000, but who applied for <br> TENs for the occasional event after 0000. It was confirmed that the <br> borough had discretion regarding the start time for the levy, but that <br> whatever was decided would be the same for premises across the <br> borough. <br> With regards to the proposal that community centres could apply to be |
| :--- | :--- |
| exempt from the requirement to have a DPS, Ms Barrett reported that |  |
| Haringey's response to the consultation would be strongly against this |  |
| proposal. |  |
| It was confirmed that training for Members would be arranged as soon |  |
| as details on the guidance and regulations were received. |  |
| NOTED |  |$\left|\begin{array}{l}\text { REGarrett }\end{array}\right|$| REG5. |
| :--- |
| REG44. |
| DELEGATED POWERS FOR PLANNING DECISIONS <br> REVIEW APPLICATIONS TO LICENSING SUB COMMITTEES - <br> PROCEDURE <br> The Committee considered a report on the principles and process of <br> Telegated planning powers, Haringey's delegation scheme, the volume <br> of applications decided under delegated powers within the borough and <br> how this compared to national guidelines. <br> The following points were raised in discussion: <br> appling of the summary of procedure in respect of Licensing Review <br> respective parties clearer, as in review hearings these differed from the <br> parties in licence application hearings. <br> RESOLVED <br> Theren |
| That the new procedure for Licensing Sub Committee, specifically in |
| relation to Review Applications, as set out in the report, be approved and | \right\rvert\,
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## MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE <br> THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

- Government advice is that local authorities should delegate at least $90 \%$ of applications received; for the period 2006-2012, the level of delegation at Haringey had been between $97.1 \%$ and 97.9\%.
- Members should raise any concerns regarding the delegations process with Marc Dorfman or Paul Smith.
- The wording of the scheme of delegations had been updated in recent days to reflect the current job titles in the Place and Sustainability directorate.
- In addition to the list of delegations to planning officers of matters otherwise within the terms of reference of the Planning Committee, officers were able to refer any application to the Committee.
- Mr Smith outlined the process whereby the list of delegated decisions was forwarded to the Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee on a Friday, to give them time to raise any issues prior to the decisions being implemented on the following Tuesday. In addition to this, any controversial issues would be drawn to the attention of the Chair and the Vice Chair.
- All Councillors were notified of planning applications affecting their ward, and all Councillors were encouraged to respond to applications.
- In response to point (r) of the scheme of delegations, Members stated that they were aware of applications where residents' associations had made representations, and the application had not been referred to the Committee. It was clarified that that "any other applications" as referred to in (r) only applied to applications that did not fall within any of the categories (a) to ( $p$ ) on the preceding list.
- Determination of whether an application should go to Committee depended on the quality of consultation responses rather than the quantity, although an application attracting a high number of representations would be considered carefully and may be referred to the Committee.
- Ward Councillors were encouraged to contact the Chair of the Regulatory Committee regarding any applications they were notified of which they felt should come to the Committee, and the Chair could then discuss this with Planning.
- The Committee requested information on the level of delegation within other boroughs, and it was agreed that Marc Dorfman would circulate this information outside the meeting.
- The Committee asked how many applications Members requested to go to Committee and, of these, how many were actually referred to Committee. Mr Dorfman advised that generally requests by Councillors for referrals to Committee were accommodated and usually did go to the Committee for determination; it was reported that the number of applications affected was very small - there had only been one such example this year.
- Mr Dorfman advised that the Council had a very good appeals record, well above the national target, and that performance in this area continued to improve each year.
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MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE
THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

|  | - In response to concerns raised regarding the way in which delegated decisions were brought to the attention of the Chair, it was reported that key issues were raised at the monthly meeting between the Chair and Planning officer. It was suggested that, if Members had any concerns regarding an application, these should be raised with planning officers or the Chair so that they could be discussed in further detail. <br> RESOLVED <br> That the content of the report be noted. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REG47. | PLANNING APPEALS FEBRUARY 2012 <br> The Committee considered a report on appeal decisions determined during February 2012, and noted that, of the 6 appeal decisions during this period, $100 \%$ had been dismissed. <br> The Committee asked for an update on the Wards Corner appeal, and Mr Dorfman advised that the inquiry was scheduled for October. Work was taking place to complete the statement of case by the end of May, and the Council had made contact with the Wards Corner Coalition to discuss the points they wished to raise. Mr Dorfman advised that it was essential for the Council to focus on the reasons for refusal and defend the decision of the Committee. Cllr Schmitz agreed to supply Mr Dorfman with a copy of his representation to the Planning Inspectorate. <br> It was agreed that details regarding the date and venue of the Wards Corner appeal would be circulated to all Members. <br> RESOLVED <br> That the content of the report be noted. | Planning |
| REG48. | DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT, BUILDING CONTROL \& PLANNING ENFORCEMENT WORK - PERFORMANCE STATISTICS <br> The Committee considered a report on performance statistics for Development Management, Building Control and Planning Enforcement since the $21^{\text {st }}$ February Regulatory Committee meeting. <br> RESOLVED <br> That the content of the report be noted. |  |
| REG49. | PLANNING ENFORCEMENT UPDATE TO 23 MARCH 2012 <br> The Committee considered the Planning Enforcement year report to $23^{\text {rd }}$ March 2012, and discussed details of the cases referred to in the report. <br> The following points were discussed: <br> - Members asked whether there was any scope for funds |  |
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MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE
THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

|  | recovered as a result of Planning Enforcement action to contribute to the Planning Enforcement budget. <br> - The need to widely promote successful enforcement action was emphasised, and officers confirmed that they liaised with the Council's communications team to promote enforcement successes both within the Council and externally. <br> - It was confirmed that additional detail would be added to the prosecutions and outcomes table (at appendix 4) for future reports, to indicate the nature of the breach. <br> - Marc Dorfman and Myles Joyce would look at how best to communicate the outcome of planning enforcement action to ward councillors. <br> RESOLVED <br> That the content of the report be noted. | M <br> Dorfman / <br> M Joyce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REG50. | PLANNING ENFORCEMENT APPEALS 2011-12 <br> The Committee considered a report on planning and planning enforcement appeals for the year 2011/12, up to $23^{\text {rd }}$ March 2012. It was reported that improvements were continuing to be made on the administrative side, and that results of appeals were continually reviewed to identify area for further improvement. <br> RESOLVED <br> That the content of the report be noted. |  |
| REG51. | NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS <br> The Chair advised that training dates would be identified for sessions on both the Localism Act and the new National Planning Policy Framework. Mr Dorfman suggested that a report on the Localism Act could be brought to a future meeting. <br> As the last meeting of the Regulatory Committee for the municipal year 2011/12, the Chair thanked Members for their contributions, assistance and feedback throughout the year. <br> NOTED | Planning |
| REG52. | DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS 29 May 2012 (tbc). <br> The meeting closed at 2100 hrs . |  |
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MINUTES OF THE REGULATORY COMMITTEE
THURSDAY, 12 APRIL 2012

CLLR ALI DEMIRCI
Chair

Haringey

| Report for: | Regulatory Committee | Item <br> Number: | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Title: | Establishment of Licensing Sub-Committees |
| :--- | :--- |


| Report |
| :--- | :--- |
| Authorised by: | | Berme R, Ran |
| :--- |
| David McNulty, Head of Local Democracy andMember Services |
| Bernie Ryan, Head of Legal Services |


| Lead Officer: | Clifford Hart, Democratic Services Manager, x2920 |
| :--- | :--- |


| Ward(s) affected: All | Report for Key/Non Key Decisions: <br> Non-key |
| :--- | :--- |

1. Describe the issue under consideration
1.1 To establish two Licensing Sub-Committees to conduct the majority of licensing hearings, and to note the provisions for the appointment of substitutes.
2. Cabinet Member introduction

N/A
3. Recommendations
3.1 To note the terms of reference of the Regulatory Committee in the Council's Constitution attached as Appendix 1, and confirm the terms of reference of the Licensing Sub-Committees attached as Appendix 3 to this report.
3.2 That Members agree the establishment of two Licensing Sub-Committees with the membership set out in Appendix 2 to this report. (to follow)
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## Haringey

3.3 That Members note the provisions in the Committee Procedure Rules, Part 4, Section B of the Constitution and the delegations to the Head of Local Democracy and Member Services (Appendix E Section 9 paragraph 1.5 of the Constitution), attached at Appendix 4 to this report, for the appointment of a substitute Member of a Licensing Sub-Committee from among the Members of the Regulatory Committee when the permanent Sub-Committee Member is unable to attend for any reason.
3.4 To note that the Council Procedure Rules in Part 4 B of the Constitution do not apply to licensing hearings only in those areas where they conflict with the Local Licensing Procedure Rules and the relevant Acts and Regulations which take precedence.
4. Other options considered

N/A.
5. Background information

## Regulatory Committee

5.1 The full Council appoints the membership of the Regulatory Committee including its Chair and Vice-Chair. By law there must be at least 10 but no more than 15 appointed Members. The Committee can still meet and undertake its business with a quorum of 4 Members.
5.2 The Council can determine the terms of reference of the Committee. These are currently set out in Part 3 C of the Council's Constitution and attached as Appendix 1 to this report. Members are invited to note the Committee's terms of reference.

## Establishing the Licensing Sub-Committees

5.3 The Regulatory Committee may establish Licensing Sub-Committees to assist with holding hearings. Although the membership for these has been reported to Annual Council, legally it is the Regulatory Committee that fixes these SubCommittees' membership and confirms their terms of reference.
5.4 Last year there were 2 Licensing Sub-Committees to deal with contested applications. It is anticipated that the volume of applications will continue for the foreseeable future. It is recommended that 2 Licensing Sub-Committees be appointed and this should be sufficient. The appointed membership of the SubCommittees is fixed by law at 3 Members. The Council's own Local Licensing Procedure Rules set the quorum for hearings at 3 Members since this promotes better decision-making.
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## Haringey

5.5 The membership of the 2 Licensing Sub-Committees will be set out in Appendix 2 to this report, which will be circulated once the membership of the full Regulatory Committee is confirmed.
5.6 On occasion an appointed Member of a Licensing Sub-Committee cannot take part in the decision on a particular case, for example, due to a conflict of interest or if the premises in question is located in the Member's own Ward. In such cases, and any other case where a Member is unexpectedly absent or seriously late, it will be necessary to appoint a substitute Member often at short notice. Any such substitution will be made in accordance with the provisions of Appendix E, Section 9, Paragraph 1.5(k) and 1.5(I) of the Constitution. Substitute Members would always be trained Members of the Regulatory Committee who were able to make themselves available.
5.7 Members of the Committee are asked to note the terms of reference of the Licensing Sub-Committees. These are set out in Part 3 C of the Constitution and attached as Appendix 3 to this report. Reports on matters of general concern and decisions on general procedural issues will come to the Regulatory Committee.

## 6. Comments of the Chief Finance Officer and financial implications

6.1 The Chief Finance Officer confirms that there are no financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report.
7. Head of Legal Services and legal implications
7.1 The Head of Legal Services has been consulted and approves the content of this report.

## 8. Equalities and Community Cohesion Comments

8.1 The Council has a public sector equality duty under S149 of the Equality Act 2010 to have due regard to need to:

- tackle discrimination and victimisation of persons that share the characteristics protected under S4 of the Act. These include the characteristics of age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex (formerly gender) and sexual orientation;
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share those protected characteristics and people who do not;
- foster good relations between people who those characteristics and people who do not.
8.2 Policy and Equalities Team have been consulted in the preparation of this report and they comment that the proposals outlined in this report carry no apparent implications for the any aspect of duty outlined above.
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9. Head of Procurement Comments

N/A
10. Use of Appendices
10.1 Appendix 1 to this report is the terms of reference of the Regulatory Committee
10.2 Appendix 2 to this report is the proposed membership of the two Licensing SubCommittees (to follow)
10.3 Appendix 3 to this report is the terms of reference of the Licensing SubCommittees
10.4 Appendix 4 to this report is the extracts from the Committee Procedure Rules and Scheme of Delegation to officers in respect of substitution arrangements.
11. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985
11.1 The following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:
(i) The Council's Constitution and (ii) Local Licensing Procedure rules.

## PART THREE - RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUNCTIONS

Section C - Terms of Reference: Full Council \& Non Executive Bodies
(m) Granting exemptions for politically restricted posts and giving directions to include a post on the list of restricted posts;
(n) Advising the Council on the appointment of independent members and taking steps to select them.

## 4. Alexandra Palace and Park Board

To fulfil the functions, powers and duties of the Council as Trustee of Alexandra Palace and Park under the Alexandra Park and Palace Acts and Order 1900 to 1985 and, without prejudice to the generality of this, these functions include:
(a) The duty to uphold, maintain and repair the Palace and to maintain the Park and Palace as a place of public resort and recreation and for other public purposes.
(b) Acting as the employing body for employees engaged in the working of the Trust at Alexandra Palace, and to be responsible for the setting of staffing policies, conditions of service and terms of employment of those employees.
(c) In relation to the Trust, being responsible for developing and monitoring the implementation of effective policies and practices to achieve equality of opportunity both for employment and service delivery.

## 5. The Regulatory Committee

The Regulatory Committee has functions either (1) as the statutory Licensing Committee of the Council under the Licensing Act 2003, which also exercises functions under the Gambling Act 2005, or (2) as a non-statutory Committee exercising other non-executive functions relating to Licensing, Town Planning and other regulatory matters generally. At the beginning, and at other appropriate stage(s) in each meeting, the Chair will make a short announcement indicating whether the Committee is operating in its capacity as "statutory Licensing Committee" or as a "non-statutory Committee".

Membership
The Regulatory Committee must have between 10 and 15 Members in order to comply with the legislation as statutory Licensing

## Page 14

## PART THREE - RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUNCTIONS

Section $C$ - Terms of Reference: Full Council \& Non Executive Bodies

Committee.

Protocol
There is a Protocol outside this Constitution setting out how the Regulatory Committee is to operate. The Protocol shall be applied in a manner consistent with Committee Procedure Rules in Part 4 and the relevant Licensing Procedure Rules when the Committee is conducting a formal hearing. Any issue on procedure at the meeting shall be subject to the ruling of the Chair. The Protocol can be amended by the written agreement of the Leaders of the Political Groups on the Council.
(1) In its capacity as the statutory Licensing Committee the Committee has all the functions referred to it by law under the Licensing Act 2003 and the Gambling Act 2005. These include:
(a) To be responsible for monitoring the operation of the Acts licensable activities and gambling in the Borough;
(b) To establish the Licensing Sub-Committees and to agree the delegation of functions to the Sub-Committees and to officers;
(c) To receive reports on the matters in (a) above_and on the functions delegated to the Licensing SubCommittees and to officers. To call for a report on any individual case;
(d) To determine the procedures to be followed in handling applications, notices, representations and all hearings, subject to the relevant Regulations;
(e) Subject to Regulations, to determine the fees payable for applications for premises licences and related matters under the Gambling Act 2005;
(f) In a Council Election Year when there is a need to hold a hearing in the period between Election day and the Annual Meeting of the Council, those Members of the Committee who are re-elected as Councillors shall meet as the Committee (subject to there being a quorum of 3 Members) in order_to exercise any of the functions of

## PART THREE - RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUNCTIONS <br> Section C - Terms of Reference: Full Council \& Non Executive Bodies

the Licensing Sub-Committees, or of the Committee under (f) above, and shall elect a Chair for the meeting.
(2) In its capacity as the non-statutory Committee exercising other non-executive functions the Committee has the following functions:
(a) Exercising the functions which are stated not to be the responsibility of The Executive/Cabinet In Regulation 2 and Schedule 1, Paragraph B (Licensing and Registration) of The Local Authorities (Functions and Responsibilities) (England) Regulations 2000 (as amended) and in any Statute or subordinate legislation further amending these Regulations. The Committee's functions are to determine all policy issues, procedures and standard terms and conditions relating to the nonexecutive licensing functions but exclude decisions in individual cases which are delegated to the Licensing Sub-Committees;
(b) Formulating, reviewing and approving for consultation the Statement of Licensing Policy under the Licensing Act 2003 and recommending it, and any revisions to it, to full Council for adoption;
(c) Being consulted informally on the review and determination of the Statement of Gambling Policy, including procedures for Council consultation with external stakeholders, and making recommendations on these matters to the Cabinet;
(d) Making informal recommendations to the Cabinet and full Council on local development documents, development plan documents, the local development framework, the statement of community involvement and any other planning policy matter;
(e) Reviewing all Council plans and policies on any licensing, planning or regulatory matters, exercising an overview in relation to common themes and making informal recommendations on these matters to Cabinet and full Council;
(f) Making informal recommendations to the Cabinet and other bodies on service delivery, grant aid,
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Section C - Terms of Reference: Full Council \& Non Executive Bodies
commissioning and procurement matters when these involve or relate to licensing, planning, conservation or regulatory issues.

## SECTION 3 - SUB-COMMITTEES AND PANELS

The following bodies shall be created as Sub-Committees of the relevant Committee of the Council under which they are listed. Bodies described as "Panels" are Sub-Committees unless otherwise stated. Sub-Committees shall report to their parent bodies and they shall have the membership as described in the Appointments of NonExecutive Committees, Sub-Committees, Panels, etc as approved by the Annual Meeting

## 1. Under the Corporate Committee

### 1.1 Disciplinary Appeals Panel

To confirm, reduce or increase the penalty imposed by previous internal disciplinary hearings.

### 1.2 Grievance Panel

To determine grievances lodged by employees of the Council in relation to their terms and conditions of service, in accordance with the approved procedures.

### 1.3 Job Evaluation Appeals Panel

To determine job evaluation appeals lodged by employees of the Council in accordance with the approved procedures.

### 1.4 Haringey Council and Employees Joint Consultative Sub-

 Committee(a) To negotiate on matters relating to the service between the Council and the officers or between officers with a view to the prevention of differences and to their better adjustment when they appear, and to make appropriate recommendations to the Corporate Committee.
(b) To secure the largest possible measure of joint action between
will follow procedures agreed by the Committee.

### 3.2 Review Sub-Committees

Between three and five members of the Committee. Not to include any member of the Assessment Sub-Committee for the same allegation.

To review, when requested, any decision of an Assessment SubCommittee to take no action on an allegation. The Sub-Committees will follow procedures agreed by the Committee.

### 3.3 Hearing Sub-Committees

Five members of the Committee.
To hear complaints against Councillors and co-opted members made to the Council or referred back from the Standards Board for England. The Sub-Committees will follow procedures agreed by the Committee.
4. Under The Regulatory Committee

### 4.1 Licensing Sub-Committees

## Introduction

The Licensing Sub-Committees have functions either (1) as SubCommittees of the Regulatory Committee in its capacity as the statutory Licensing Committee of the Council under the Licensing Act 2003, which also exercises functions under the Gambling Act 2005, or (2) as Sub-Committees of the Regulatory Committee in its capacity as a non-statutory Committee exercising non-executive licensing and regulatory functions. At the beginning, and at other appropriate stage(s) in each meeting, the Chair will make a short announcement indicating whether the Sub-Committee is operating in its capacity as "statutory Licensing Sub-Committee" or as a "nonstatutory Sub-Committee".

Membership
The membership of each Sub-Committee is nominated by the Annual Council but formally appointed by the Regulatory Committee each year. In the event that an appointed Member or Members of a SubCommittee is unable or unwilling to take part in a hearing for any
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reason a Special Licensing Sub-Committee may be appointed from among the Members of The Regulatory Committee. When operating in its capacity as "statutory Licensing Sub-Committee" there must be a membership and quorum of 3 present at all times.
(1) General Provisions Relating to the Statutory Licensing SubCommittees
(a) Licensing Sub-Committees have the power to make final decisions on behalf of the Council on matters within their terms of reference and there is no right of appeal to the Regulatory Committee;

Functions under both the Licensing Act 2003 and the Gambling Act 2005
(b) To determine applications for premises licences where relevant representations have been made;
(c) To determine applications for provisional statements where relevant representations have been made;
(d) To determine valid applications for review of premises licences;
(e) To decide on any other matter where it is necessary or desirable for Members to make that decision;

Functions under the Licensing Act 2003 alone
(f) To determine applications for variations of premises licences where relevant representations have been made except in the case of minor variations;
(g) To determine applications to vary designated premises supervisors following police objections;
(h) To determine applications for transfer of premises licences following police objections;
(i) To consider police objections made to interim authority notices;
(j) To determine applications for club premises certificates where relevant representations have been made;
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(k) To determine applications to vary club premises certificates where relevant representations have been made except in the case of minor variations;
(l) To determine valid applications for review of club premises certificates;
(m) To decide whether to give counter notices following police objections to temporary event notices;
(n) To determine applications for grants of personal licences following police objections;
(o) To determine applications for renewals of personal licences following police objections;
(p) To decide on revocation of personal licences where convictions come to light after grant;

## Functions under the Gambling Act 2005 alone

(q) To determine applications for variations of premises licences where relevant representations have been made;
(r). To determine applications for transfers of premises licences following representations by the Gambling Commission or others;
(s) To determine applications for the re-instatement of premises licences where relevant representations have been made;
(t) To decide whether to give counter notices following objections to temporary use notices;
(u) To determine applications for the grant of club gaming permits and club machine permits where objections have been received;
(v) To determine proposals to cancel club gaming permits and club machine permits where the holder requests a hearing;
(w) When the licence holder requests a hearing, to determine officer proposals: (i) to make an order removing the

PART THREE - RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUNCTIONS
Section C - Terms of Reference: Full Council \& Non Executive Bodies
entitlement of an on-premises alcohol licence holder to have one or two gaming machines, or (ii) to cancel or vary a licensed premises gaming machine permit;
(x) To determine licensed premises gaming machine permit applications for 5 or more machines and all.applications for any number of machines where there is cause for concern about the proper management of the premises;
(2) General Provisions Relating to the Non-Statutory Licensing SubCommittees
a) The Sub-Committees have all the functions which are stated not to be the responsibility of the Council's Executive/Cabinet in Regulation 2 and paragraph B (Licensing and Registration) of Schedule 1 of The Local Authorities (Functions and Responsibilities) (England) Regulations 2000 S. I. 2853, as amended, or further amended, in any statute or subordinate legislation. The Sub-Committee's remit includes the licensing of street trading, special treatment premises, sex establishments and dog breeding establishments.
(b) The Sub-Committees make decisions in all individual licensing or registration cases where a hearing is required because of an objection to an application or to a proposed revocation or because of an officer recommendation for refusal.
(c) The Sub-Committees have the power to make final decisions on behalf of the Council in relation to its functions in (b).
(d) Excluded from the Sub-Committees' terms of reference are decisions on all policy issues, procedures and standard terms and conditions which are within the remit of The Regulatory Committee.

### 4.2 Planning Sub-Committee

(a) The Sub-Committee has all the functions which are set out in (b) and (c) below and which are stated not to be the responsibility of the Executive in Regulation 2 and Schedule 1 of The Local Authorities (Functions and Responsibilities) (England) Regulations 2000 (as amended) and in any statute or subordinate legislation further amending those Regulations (references to paragraphs are to those in Schedule 1);

## PART FOUR - RULES OF PROCEDURE Section B - Committee Procedure

 Rulesthat the meeting is adjourned for a specified period. If seconded, the motion will be voted on without discussion.

## 39. General disturbance

If there is a general disturbance making orderly business impossible, the chair may adjourn the meeting for as long as he/she thinks necessary.

## DISTURBANCE BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

40. Removal of member of public

If a member of the public interrupts proceedings, the chair will warn the person concerned. If they continue to interrupt, the chair will order their removal from the meeting room.

## 41. Clearance of part of meeting room

If there is a general disturbance in any part of the meeting room open to the public, the chair may call for that part to be cleared.

## VOTING

42. Voting shall be by a show of hands.
43. Immediately after the vote is taken, a member may require to be recorded in the minutes whether he/she voted for or against the decision or abstained.
44. In the case of an equality of votes, the Chair shall have a second or casting vote, unless the constitution of the body as approved by the Council provides otherwise.
45. Proposals carried are recorded as resolutions or recommendations. Proposals lost are not recorded, except as provided for in (2) above.

## ATTENDANCE

46. Any member of the Council may attend the public part of all meetings whenever he/she wishes and, with the Chair's consent, may take part in the business of a meeting of which he/she is not a member but may not move a resolution nor vote. Before a Member participates in or addresses a meeting under this rule, written notice should be given to the Chair, preferably before the meeting but in any event as soon as the Member arrives at the meeting. Members of the Council are not required to complete any form used by the public to request permission to speak at a meeting.
47. With the Chair's consent, any member of the Council may attend the exempt part of meetings whenever he or she wishes and may take part in the business of a meeting of which he or she is not a member but may not move a resolution nor vote. No Member, other than the appointed Members of the bodies concerned, may attend certain meetings affecting specific individuals, such as Appointments Panels, hearings and appeals where this is prevented in the Constitution or procedure rules of the body or where advised by the Monitoring Officer.
48. Every member attending a meeting shall sign his/her name on the attendance sheet provided for that purpose.
49. Where a Member is proposing to be absent for any reason from a meeting of a particular Committee/Sub-Committee to which they have been appointed they may give notice to arrange for a substitute Member in their
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PART FOUR - RULES OF PROCEDURE Section B - Committee Procedure Rules
place. The Chief Whip of a political group may give this notice in place of any Member from that group who will be absent.
50. Notice under 49 must be given in writing, including e-mail, to the Head of Local Democracy \& Member Services by 10.00 a.m. on the day of the meeting if the meeting commences at $6.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. or later. Where the meeting commences before 6.00 p.m., the notice must be given by 10.00 a.m. on the previous working day before the meeting.
51. On receipt of notice under 49 above, the Head of Local Democracy \& Member Services will notify the Chief Whip for the political group of the Member who will be absent. The Chief Whip will make arrangements for the attendance of a substitute Member from the list of reserve Members for that Committee/Sub-Committee nominated by the group. Where none of the listed reserve Members for the relevant Committee/Sub-Committee is available, or where no reserve Members have been nominated, then the Chief Whip may select any other Member of the group. The provisions of this rule are subject to 54 below.
52. The name of the Member selected to act as the substitute must be notified in writing, including e-mail, by the Chief Whip to the Head of Local Democracy \& Member Services no later than 3 hours before the time for commencement of the meeting stated on the agenda.
53. If the Chief Whip of a political group is absent or unable to act for any reason, then any action to be taken by the Chief Whip in this Standing Order may be undertaken instead by the group's Assistant Whips, Group Secretary and Group Chair.
54. In the case of meetings of Committees/Sub-Committees where prior Member training is required, only those Members who have attended appropriate training can be selected as substitutes. Currently these bodies are the Standards Committee and its Sub-Committees, the Planning Committee, Disciplinary Appeals Panels, Grievance Panels, Job Evaluation Panels and hearings conducted by the Licensing Committee and its Sub-Committees. Reserve Members will be trained for the relevant body as soon as possible after their appointment.
55. Substitutes properly appointed will be recorded in the minutes and shall carry full voting and other rights and responsibilities. This rule (in 49) does not apply so as to allow substitution at meetings of The Cabinet or its Committees or its subordinate bodies.

## CHAIR'S PREROGATIVE ON INTERPRETATION OF STANDING ORDERS

56. The ruling of the Chair on the interpretation or application of any of these Standing Orders, or on any proceedings of the Committee, SubCommittee, Panel or other body, shall not be challenged.

## APPENDIX E - SCHEME OF DELEGATION TO OFFICERS

Section 9 - Proper and Specified Officer Functions
(e) Signing on behalf of the Council to certify a contract under the Local Government (Contracts) Act 1997;
(f) The functions of the Monitoring Officer under sections 5 and 5A of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 and Part 3 of the Local Government Act 2000 or other legislation relating to Local Government and Regulations made under the above;
(g) Determining whether the public interest requires information to be treated as "exempt information" in any report or related background paper and whether any meeting of the Council or a Council body is likely not to be open to the public because of the risk of disclosure of confidential or exempt information or the advice of a political assistant under Part VA and Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements)(Access to Information)(England) Regulations 2000-2006 (Access to Information);
(h) Determining whether the public interest in maintaining the exemption of information, or the exclusion of the duty to confirm or deny, outweighs the public interest in disclosure in relation to any request for information, or to any internal review after the refusal of a request, under section 2 and Part 2 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000;
(i) Being the "qualified person" to form a reasonable opinion as to whether disclosure of information would be likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice or exchange of views or would be likely otherwise to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs under section 36 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000;

### 1.5 Head of Local Democracy and Member Services

The Head of Local Democracy and Member Services is appointed Proper Officer and specified officer in relation to:
(a) Those proper officer functions relating to access to information not delegated to the Head of Legal Services above under Part VA and Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000-2006;
(b) Section 191 of the Local Government Act 1972 (providing information to assist the Ordnance Survey);
(c) Sections 225 and 229 and Schedule 14, Part II, Paragraph 25 (7) of the Local Government Act 1972, and Section 41(1) of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 (custody of, and certifying, documents);
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APPENDIX E - SCHEME OF DELEGATION TO OFFICERS
Section 9 - Proper and Specified Officer Functions
(d) Section 8 of the Representation of the People Act 1983 (the officer who will act as Deputy to the Electoral Registration Officer in the event of the latter's incapacity or a vacancy arising);
(e) Section 2(2) of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 (Maintenance of the list of politically restricted posts);

In addition the Head of Local Democracy and Member Services is empowered:
(f) To determine the appointment of outside members to the "pool" from which the membership of School Admissions Appeals Panels and School Exclusions Appeals Panels are drawn;
(g) To determine the membership of individual Appeals Panels (under (f) above) and the selection of Chairs of these Panels;
(h) To make appointments of representative School Governors as notified by the Executive Member for Education or the Opposition Spokesperson, as appropriate; *
(i) To make appointments to vacancies on the Standing Advisory Council on Religious Education and Conference Committees;
(j) To make appointments to the membership of Special Licensing SubCommittees from among the Members of the Regulatory Committee; *
(k) To appoint an additional Member or Members to any scheduled meeting of a Licensing Sub-Committee from among the Members of the Regulatory Committee whenever the appointment of a substitute Member under the Committee Procedure Rules (Part 4, Section B) would be impracticable and the meeting would otherwise be inquorate; *
(I) The exercise of the delegated powers in (j) and (k) above shall be, so far as practicable, in consultation with the Chair of the Regulatory Committee and the Chief Whip of each Group and with a view to achieving political balance in the membership of Licensing SubCommittees.
(m) To appoint the membership of Assessment Sub-Committees, Review Sub-Committees and Hearing Sub-Committees in accordance with the relevant Regulations (S. I. 2008/1085 and any amendment) and the decisions of the Standards Committee and in consultation with the Chair of the Standards Committee and the Monitoring Officer. *

### 1.6 Head of Human Resources

The Head of Human Resources is authorised to provide the "Certificate of Opinion" for an employee on SCP44 or above making application to the Standards Committee for exemption of his/her post from political restriction LONDON BOROUGH OF HARINGEY CONSTITUTION


Haringey Council

| Report for: | Regulatory Committee | Item <br> Number: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Lead Officer: | Ahmet Altinsoy - Development Management Support Team Leader <br> 02084895114 <br> Ahmet.Altinsoy@haringey.gov.uk |
| :--- | :--- |


| Ward(s) <br> affected: | All |
| :--- | :--- |

1. Describe the issue under consideration

To inform the Regulatory Committee of decisions made under delegated powers by the Head of Development Management and the Chair of the above Regulatory Committee.
2. Recommendations

See following reports.
3. Background information

The applications listed were determined between 26 March 2012 and 13 May 2012.

## 4. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

Planning staff and application case files are located at $6^{\text {th }}$ Floor, River Park House, Wood Green, London, N22 8HQ. Applications can be inspected at those offices 9.00am-5.00pm, Monday - Friday. Case Officers will not be available without appointment. In addition application case files are available to view print and download free of charge via the Haringey Council website: www.haringey.gov.uk. From the homepage follow the links to 'planning' and 'view planning applications' to find the application search facility. Enter the application reference number or site address to retrieve the case details.

The Development Management Support Team can give further advice and can be contacted on 0208489 1478, 9.00am-5.00pm, Monday - Friday.
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## HARINGEY COUNCIL

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

# APPLICATIONS DECIDED UNDER DELEGATED POWERS BETWEEN <br> 26/03/2012 AND 13/05/2012 

## BACKGROUND PAPERS

For the purpose of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the background papers in respect of the following items comprise the planning application case file.

The planning staff and planning application case files are located at 6th Floor, River Park House, Wood Green, London, N22 8HQ. Applications can be inspected at those offices 9.00am-5.00pm, Monday - Friday. Case Officers will not be available without appointment.

In addition application case files are available to view print and download free of charge via the Haringey Council website:
www.haringey.gov.uk

From the homepage follow the links to 'planning' and 'view planning applications' to find the application search facility. Enter the application reference number or site address to retrieve the case details.

The Development Management Support Team can give further advice and can be contacted on 0208489 1478, 9.00am-5.00pm, Monday - Friday.

| WARD: Alexandra |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2039 Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 28/03/2012 |
| Location: | 102 Alexandra Park Road N10 2AE |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of temporary portable storage container for sash windows and replace with uPvc. | r 2 years and replacement of two | isting ground floor |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2111 Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 26/04/2012 |
| Location: | 30 Alexandra Park Road N10 2AB |  |  |
| Proposal: | Extending and remodelling of existing ground floor Erection of rear dormer including alteration of pitch | extension, remodelling of existing ed roof (householder application) | de extension. |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2118 Officer: | Subash Jain |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | Flat B 230 Alexandra Park Road N22 7BH |  |  |
| Proposal: | Replacement of existing door and window at rear fir panel at door level and fixing of obscure panels on | irst floor level with bi-fold doors and the balcony surround | fixed obscure |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2192 Officer: | Elizabeth Ennin-Gyasi |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 11/05/2012 |
| Location: | 58 Grove Avenue N10 2AN |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of single storey rear extension and interna | alterations to garage (Househol | Application) |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0028 Officer: | Tara Jane Fisher |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 28/03/2012 |
| Location: | Near Footbridge and Orion Road, Pinkham Way |  |  |
| Proposal: | Display of $1 \times 48$ sheet internally illuminated displa | y panel |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0033 Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | PERM DEV | Decision Date: | 09/05/2012 |
| Location: | 31 Cecil Road N10 2BU |  |  |
| Proposal: | Certificate of Lawfulness for insertion of side and rear | ear rooflights |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0116 Officer: | John Ogenga P'Lakop |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 09/05/2012 |
| Location: | 230 Alexandra Park Road N22 7BH |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of a single storey extension at basement Drawings) | evel and erection of lightwell (Am |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0225 Officer: | Tara Jane Fisher |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 11/04/2012 |
| Location: | 40 Muswell Road N10 2BG |  |  |
| Proposal: | Conversion from bedsit accommodation to three sef | If contained flats |  |




## WARD: Bounds Green



[^0]

| WARD: Crouch End |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/0726 Officer: | John Ogenga P'Lakop |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 19/04/2012 |
| Location: | 4 Crouch End Hill N8 8AA |  |  |
| Proposal: | Use of upper floors as $3 \times 1$ bed flats |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/1614 Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 26/03/2012 |
| Location: | 36 Cecile Park N8 9AS |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of first floor rear extension including intern | al alterations |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0130 Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | Alyn Bank Crescent Road N8 8AP |  |  |
| Proposal: | Tree works to include Crown reduce to previous red | duction points of $1 \times$ Sycamore tre |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0261 Officer: | Tara Jane Fisher |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 28/03/2012 |
| Location: | Opposite 34 Haslemere Road N8 9RB |  |  |
| Proposal: | Installation of $1 \times$ DSLAM green telecommunication | s cabinet on a concrete plinth |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0272 Officer: | Awot Tesfai |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 29/03/2012 |
| Location: | Side of 20 Crouch Hall Road N8 8HU |  |  |
| Proposal: | Installation of $1 \times$ DSLAM green telecommunication | s cabinet on a concrete plinth |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0276 Officer: | Valerie Okeiyi |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 30/03/2012 |
| Location: | 19, Topsfield Parade, Tottenham Lane N8 8PT |  |  |
| Proposal: | Installation of new shopfront |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0277 Officer: | Valerie Okeiyi |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 30/03/2012 |
| Location: | 19, Topsfield Parade, Tottenham Lane N8 8PT |  |  |
| Proposal: | Display of 1 x internally illuminated fascia sign and | 1 x internally illuminated projectin |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0301 Officer: | Gareth Prosser |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 23/04/2012 |
| Location: | 16B Coolhurst Road N8 8EL |  |  |
| Proposal: | Tree works to include reduce crown by 30-40\% of 1 | $1 \times$ Ash tree |  |




| Application No: | HGY/2012/0504 | Officer: | Valerie Okeiyi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 04/05/2012 |
| Location: | 5 Aubrey Road N8 9HH |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Demolition of existing lean-t area | al WC an | erection of new rear extension | external terraced |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0530 | Officer: | Jeffrey Holt |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 09/05/2012 |
| Location: | 10 Shanklin Road N8 8TJ |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear dormer with insertion of rooflight to front elevation (householder application) |  |  |  |


| WARD: Fortis Green |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0091 | Officer: | Gareth Prosse |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 26/03/2012 |
| Location: | 1 Midhurst Avenue N10 3EP |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear dormer to facilitate a loft conversion |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0241 | Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 08/05/2012 |
| Location: | 189 Creighton Avenue N2 9BN |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Tree works to include lop / trim by $25 \%$ of $1 \times$ Oak tree |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0263 | Officer: | Michelle Brads |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 05/04/2012 |
| Location: | 42 Fordington Road N6 4TJ |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Retention of roof balcony and glass balustrade to rear elevation (householder application) |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0299 | Officer: | Subash Jain |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 04/04/2012 |
| Location: | 32 \& 34 Aylmer Road N2 0BX |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Formation of vehicle cross-overs to No's 32 \& 34 Aylmer Road N2. |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0310 | Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |  |
| Decision: | REF |  |  | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | 10 Woodside Avenue N6 4SS |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear ground floor conservatory extension |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0328 | Officer: | Subash Jain |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 18/04/2012 |
| Location: | 5 Aylmer Road N2 OBS |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of side and rear dormers and roof extension |  |  |  |  |




## WARD: Harringay



| Application No: | HGY/2012/0497 | Officer: | Gareth Prosser |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision: | REF |  | Decision Date: | 08/05/2012 |
| Location: | 6 Wordsworth Parade N8 OSJ |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Certificate of lawfulness for use of first and second floors of property as four flats |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0512 | Officer: | Awot Tesfai |  |
| Decision: | REF |  | Decision Date: | 03/05/2012 |
| Location: | 453 West Green Road N15 3PW |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of second floor rear extension and erection of rear dormer with insertion of $1 \times$ rooflight to the front roofslope. |  |  |  |


| WARD: Highgate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2070 Officer: | Matthew Gunning |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | Highgate Private Hospital 17-19 View Road N6 4DJ |  |  |
| Proposal: | Non-material amendment application following a grant of planning permission HGY/2009/0837 for internal layout changes to ground floor, first floor and second floor |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2108 Officer: | Matthew Gunning |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | Goldsmiths Cottage Denewood Road N6 4AL |  |  |
| Proposal: | Approval of details pursuant to condition 3 (materials) attached to planning reference HGY/2010/1345. |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2146 Officer: | Matthew Gunning |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | 17-19 View Road N6 4DB |  |  |
| Proposal: | Alteration to existing dormer on rear roof slope and creation of a new dormer window to convert attic space into office accommodation; a small window to the existing gable at the front of the hospital site; alteration and conversion of light well space into office accommodation with other associated minor changes |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2183 Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 05/04/2012 |
| Location: | 4 Talbot Road N6 4QR |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear ground floor extension (householder application) |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2273 Officer: | Valerie Okeiyi |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 29/03/2012 |
| Location: | Flat 4, 6 Milton Road N6 5QD |  |  |
| Proposal: | Certificate of Lawfulness for use of property as one self contained flat. |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2275 Officer: | Tara Jane Fisher |  |
| Decision: | GTD | Decision Date: | 09/05/2012 |
| Location: | 2A Cholmeley Crescent N6 5HA |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of single storey rear extension including | terrace. (Householder Application) |  |







## WARD: Muswell Hill





## WARD: Noel Park



| Application No: | HGY/2012/0543 | Officer: Jeffrey Holt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## WARD: Northumberland Park




## WARD: St Anns




| WARD: Seven Sisters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/2359 | Officer: | Ruma Nowaz |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 17/04/2012 |
| Location: | 107 Fairview Road N15 6TT |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Roof extension to facilitate a loft co | ion |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0159 | Officer: | Awot Tesfai |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 28/03/2012 |
| Location: | 51 Fairview Road N15 6LH |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Approval of Details pursuant to Con | 3 (Draw | gs) attached to planning permissi | HGY/2011/0345 |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0195 | Officer: | Tara Jane Fisher |  |
| Decision: | REF |  | Decision Date: | 03/04/2012 |
| Location: | Flat A 44 Howard Road N15 6NL |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear dormer and insertio | 2 roofligh | to front roofslope to facilitate a lo | onversion |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0211 | Officer: | Tara Jane Fisher |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 04/05/2012 |
| Location: | 64 Craven Park Road N15 6AB |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of front and rear dormers |  |  |  |




## WARD: Stroud Green

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Application No: | HGY/2011/0934 | Officer: | John Ogenga P'Lakop |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 19/04/2012 |  |
| Location: | 111 |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Retrospective planning application for the retention of a rear first floor infill extension |  |  |  |  |

## Officer: John Ogenga P'Lakop

Decision Date: 03/04/2012
113A Stapleton Hall Road N4 4RD
Erection of rear conservatory and brick built extension

HGY/2012/0145
GTD
Officer: John Ogenga P'Lakop
Decision Date: 19/04/2012

Location: $\quad 38$ Stapleton Hall Road N4 3QD
Proposal: Erection of small door through existing chimney breast for roof access, with balustrade behind existing parapet wall.

| Application No: | HGY/2012/0245 | Officer: | Valerie Okeiyi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 30/03/2012 |
| Location: | 33C Ferme Park Road N4 4EB |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Non-material amendment following a grant of planning permission HGY/2011/1539 to replace existing French door leading to balcony and mount a porch roof above French door |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0267 | Officer: | Jeffrey Holt |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 29/03/2012 |
| Location: | 115 Mount View Road N4 4JH |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear / side ground floor extension and rebuilding of boundary wall (householder application) |  |  |  |  |



| Application No: | HGY/2012/0392 | Officer: | Michelle Bradshaw |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 18/04/2012 |
| Location: | 127 Mount View Road N4 4JH |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Insertion of two extra windows into front bay at basement level and creation of enlarged front lightwell |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0393 | Officer: | Jeffrey Holt |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 18/04/2012 |
| Location: | 41 Nelson Road N8 9RX |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Use of property as six self contained flats |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0463 | Officer: | Michelle Bradshaw |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 30/04/2012 |
| Location: | 30 Mayfield Road N8 9LP |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of rear and side ground floor extensions |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0513 | Officer: | Gareth Prosser |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 04/05/2012 |
| Location: | 73 Ridge Road N8 9NP |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of new side return extension to rear at lower ground floor level, excavation to reduce lower ground floor level, extension of existing glazed extension to rear at lower ground floor level and extension of existing dormer to rear roof slope |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0522 | Officer: | Gareth Prosser |  |
| Decision: | REF |  | Decision Date: | 08/05/2012 |
| Location: | 84 Victoria Road N4 3SW |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Demolition of rear porch and erection of a single-storey rear extension, erection of new rear dormer window and installation of new rooflights. |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0566 | Officer: | Subash Jain |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 10/05/2012 |
| Location: | Flat A 36 Marquis Road N4 3AP |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Erection of single storey rear extension (Householder Application) |  |  |  |


| Application No: | HGY/2010/1738 Officer: | Stuart Cooke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision: | REF | Decision Date: | 04/05/2012 |
| Location: | Ground Floor, 72 Lawrence Road N15 4EG |  |  |
| Proposal: | Temporary change of use from an industrial to Centre and Place of Worship for 24 months | munity Centre, Administrative | s, Training |
| Application No: | HGY/2010/1740 Officer: | Stuart Cooke |  |
| Decision: | REF | Decision Date: | 04/05/2012 |
| Location: | 2nd Floor, 72 Lawrence Road N15 4EG |  |  |
| Proposal: | Temporary change of use from industrial use to and place of worship for 24 months | mmunity centre, administrative o | s, training |



| Proposal: | Approval of details pursuant to conditions 12 (central dish / aerial system), 13 (car parking and loading / <br>  <br> unloading) and 14 (refuse and waste storage) attached to planning permission HGY/2008/2033, and <br>  <br> conditions 2(b) (drawings and method statement), 11(b)a and b (condition survey and repairs <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> HGecification), 19 (masonry cleaning) and 20 (brickwork repointing) attached to planning reference |
| :--- | :--- |

## WARD: Tottenham Hale




| Application No: | HGY/2012/0412 | Officer: | Gareth Prosser |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision: | PERM DEV |  | Decision Date: | 23/04/2012 |
| Location: | 47 Downhills Way N17 6AN |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Insertion of side and rear rooflights to facilitate a loft conversion. |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0517 | Officer: Gareth Prosser |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  | Decision Date: | 04/05/2012 |
| Location: | 403 Lordship Lane N17 6AE |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Retrospective planning application for the retention of a 2 bedroom flat at rear of 403 Lordship Lane |  |  |  |


| WARD: White Hart Lane |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| WARD: Woodside |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0251 | Officer: | Subash Jain |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 27/03/2012 |
| Location: | 24 Stuart Crescent N22 5NN |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Installation of solar panels on the rear single storey shed (householder application) |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0256 | Officer: | Subash Jain |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  | Decision Date: | 27/03/2012 |
| Location: | 25 Stuart Crescent N22 5NN |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Creation of $2 \times 1$ bedroom self contained flats at the rear, insertion of solar lights, insertion of another dormer on the principle frontage, alterations to the side and rear windows. |  |  |  |  |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0318 | Officer: | John Ogenga | Lakop |  |
| Decision: | REF |  |  | Decision Date: | 05/04/2012 |
| Location: | 131a Granville Road N22 5LS |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Creation of vehicle crossover |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Application No: | HGY/2012/0498 | Officer: | Awot Tesfai |  | Decision Date: |
| 03/05/2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decision: | GTD |  |  |  |  |
| Location: | 28 Arcadian Gardens N22 5AD |  |  |  |  |
| Proposal: | Use of property as 8 self contained flats. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Haringey Council

| Report for: | Regulatory Committee | Item <br> Number: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Lead Officer: | Ahmet Altinsoy - Development Management Support Team Leader <br> 02084895114 <br> Ahmet.Altinsoy@haringey.gov.uk |
| :--- | :--- |


| Ward(s) <br> affected: | All |
| :--- | :--- |

## 1. Describe the issue under consideration

To advise the Regulatory Committee of appeal decisions determined by the Department for Communities and Local Government during March \& April 2012.

## 2. Recommendations

See following reports.

## 3. Background information

Reports outcome of 11 planning appeal decisions determined by the Department for Communities and Local Government during March 2012 of which 1 (9\%) was allowed and 10 (91\%) were dismissed.

No Planning Appeals were decided during April 2012.

## 4. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

Planning staff and application case files are located at $6^{\text {th }}$ Floor, River Park House, Wood Green, London, N22 8HQ. Applications can be inspected at those offices $9.00 \mathrm{am}-5.00 \mathrm{pm}$, Monday - Friday. Case Officers will not be available without appointment. In addition application case files are available to view print and download free of charge via the Haringey Council website: www.haringey.gov.uk. From the homepage follow the links to 'planning' and 'view planning applications' to find the application search facility. Enter the application reference number or site address to retrieve the case details.

The Development Management Support Team can give further advice and can be contacted on 0208489 1478, 9.00am-5.00pm, Monday - Friday.
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## APPEAL DECISION MARCH 2012

| Ward: | Bounds Green |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/0383 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 109 Myddleton Road N22 8NE

## Proposal:

Extension to an existing ground floor studio flat and the erection of a one bedroom self contained dwelling

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

Whether the proposal would preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Bowes Park Conservation Area

Whether the design and construction of the buildings would satisfy sustainable design principles

## Result:

Appeal - Dismissed 23 March 2012

| Ward: | Crouch End |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/0389 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 23 Crouch Hall Road N8 8HT

## Proposal:

Erection of a two storey, two bedroom house with integrated artist's studio and off-street parking

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

Whether the proposal would preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Crouch End Conservation Area

Whether the proposal would provide reasonable living conditions for its occupier,(s) in terms of sunlight, daylight and outlook.

Result: Appeal - Dismissed 6 March 2012
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| Ward: | Crouch End |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/0339 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 270 Ferme Park Road N8 9BL

## Proposal:

Conversion of the front garden into a parking space for one car, requiring partial removal of the front low, hedge and plants followed by the laying of porous block paving over garden and drainage

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

The effect of the proposal on firstly, pedestrian and vehicle safety
The effect on the character and appearance of the area

## Result:

Appeal - Dismissed 23 March 2012

| Ward: | Crouch End |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/1546 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 39 \& 41 Landrock Road N8 9HR

## Proposal:

Erection of ground floor kitchen extensions, addition of first floor bay, addition of solar panels on flat roof and related rebuilding, all at the rear of both of two adjacent terrace houses (plus changes to front garden walling)

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

The effect of the proposed development on the character and appearance of the appeal dwellings and the surrounding area, including the Crouch End Conservation Area.

## Result:

Appeal - Dismissed 6 March 2012
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| Ward: | Fortis Green |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: |  <br> HGY/2011/1839 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 28 Woodside Avenue N6 4SS

## Proposal:

Appeal A
Erection of two storey rear extension and internal alterations
Appeal B
Erection of two storey rear extension and internal alterations

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

The effect of the proposal on the character and appearance of the appeal dwelling and the surrounding area

## Result:

Both Appeals - Dismissed 6 March 2012

| Ward: | Harringay |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/0959 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 24 Grand Parade, Green Lanes N4 1LG

## Proposal:

Change of use of A1 to A3 restaurant/café shop and modification to the extractor duct

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

Whether the change of use is appropriate having regard to development plan policies which see to protect the vitality, viability or predominantly retail function of town and local shopping centres.

## Result:
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| Ward: | Highgate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/1580 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 2 Stormont Road N6 4NL

## Proposal:

Construction of a single storey family tree house

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

Whether the proposal would preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Highgate Conservation Area

The effect on the living conditions of the residents of neighbouring properties, with particular reference to privacy

## Result:

Appeal - Dismissed 15 March 2012

| Ward: | Highgate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: |  <br> HGY/2010/1504 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## Southwood Nurseries, Townsend yard N6 5JF

## Proposal:

Appeal A - Demolition of the existing buildings and the erection of three self contained dwelling houses, with an access road and landscaping

Appeal B - Conservation Area Consent for demolition of the existing buildings

## Type of Appeal:

Public Inquiry

## Issues;

## Appeal A

Whether the development would preserve the character or appearance of the Highgate Conservation Area

The effect of the scheme on employment provision

## Appeal B

Whether the loss of these buildings would preserve the character or appearance of the Highgate Conservation Area

## Result:

Both Appeals - Dismissed 16 March 2012
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| Ward: | Noel Park |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Number: | HGY/2011/0759 |
| Decision Level: | Delegated |

## 27A High Road N22 6BH

## Proposal:

Change of use from A1 to A5, new shop front, new kitchen extract and air conditioning condensers to rear of property

## Type of Appeal:

Written Representation

## Issues;

The effect on the vitality and viability of the primary shopping frontage and the Wood Green Metropolitan Centre

## Result:

Appeal - Allowed 26 March 2012


Haringey Council

| Report for: | Regulatory Committee | Item <br> Number: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Lead Officer: | Ahmet Altinsoy - Development Management Support Team Leader <br> 02084895114 <br> Ahmet.Altinsoy@haringey.gov.uk |
| :--- | :--- |


| Ward(s) <br> affected: | Various |
| :--- | :--- |

## 1. Describe the issue under consideration

To advise the Regulatory Committee of performance statistics on Development Management, Building Control and Planning Enforcement.
2. Recommendations

That the report be noted.

## 3. Background information

Summarises decisions taken within set time targets by Development Management, Building Control and Planning Enforcement Work since the $12^{\text {th }}$ April 2012 Regulatory Committee meeting.

## 4. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

Planning staff and application case files are located at $6^{\text {th }}$ Floor, River Park House, Wood Green, London, N22 8HQ. Applications can be inspected at those offices 9.00am - 5.00pm, Monday - Friday. Case Officers will not be available without appointment. In addition application case files are available to view print and download free of charge via the Haringey Council website: www.haringey.gov.uk. From the homepage follow the links to 'planning' and 'view planning applications' to find the application search facility. Enter the application reference number or site address to retrieve the case details.

The Development Management Support Team can give further advice and can be contacted on 0208489 1478, 9.00am - 5.00pm, Monday - Friday.
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Regulatory Committee 29 May 2012

## DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE STATISTICS

NATIONAL INDICATOR NI 157 DETERMINING PLANNING APPLICATIONS

## March 2012 Performance

In March 2012 there were 190 planning applications determined, with performance in each category as follows -
$0 \%$ of major applications were determined within 13 weeks ( 0 out of 7 cases) $33 \%$ of minor applications were determined within 8 weeks ( 10 out of 30 cases) $60 \%$ of other applications were determined within 8 weeks (92 out of 153 cases)

For an explanation of the categories see Appendix I

## April 2012 Performance

In April 2012 there were 124 planning applications determined, with performance in each category as follows -
$0 \%$ of major applications were determined within 13 weeks ( 0 out of 0 cases) $65 \%$ of minor applications were determined within 8 weeks (15 out of 23 cases) $82 \%$ of other applications were determined within 8 weeks ( 83 out of 101 cases)

For an explanation of the categories see Appendix I

## Year Performance - 2011/12

In the financial year 2011/12, up to the end of March, there were 1779 planning applications determined, with performance in each category as follows -
$10 \%$ of major applications were determined within 13 weeks (2 out of 21)
$66 \%$ of minor applications were determined within 8 weeks (196 out of 299 cases) $69 \%$ of other applications were determined within 8 weeks (1006 out of 1458 cases)

The monthly performance for each of the categories is shown in the following graphs:
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Major Applications 2011/12


Minor Applications 2011/12
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## Other applications 2011/12



## Last 12 months performance - May 2011 to April 2012

In the 12 month period May 2011 to April 2012 there were 1794 planning applications determined, with performance in each category as follows -
$10 \%$ of major applications were determined within 13 weeks (2 out of 20)
$65 \%$ of minor applications were determined within 8 weeks (193 out of 295 cases)
$69 \%$ of other applications were determined within 8 weeks (1026 out of 1478 cases)

The 12 month performance for each category is shown in the following graphs:

Maior applications - last 12 months


Minor applications - last 12 months
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Other applications - last 12 months


## Background/Targets

NI 157 (formerly BV 109) is one of the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) National Indicators for 2011/12.

It sets the following targets for determining planning applications:
a. $60 \%$ of major applications within 13 weeks
b. $\quad 65 \%$ of minor applications within 8 weeks
c. $80 \%$ of other applications within 8 weeks

Haringey has set its own targets for 2011/12 in relation to NI 157. These are set out in Planning \& Regeneration (P\&R) Business Plan 2010-13 and are to determine:
a. $60 \%$ of major applications within 13 weeks
b. $65 \%$ of minor applications within 8 weeks
c. $80 \%$ of other applications within 8 weeks

## Page 76

## Appendix I

## Explanation of categories

The NI 157 indicator covers planning applications included in the DCLG PS1/2 statutory return.

It excludes the following types of applications - TPO's, Telecommunications, Reserve Matters and Observations.

The definition for each of the category of applications is as follows:
Major applications -
For dwellings, where the number of dwellings to be constructed is 10 or more For all other uses, where the floorspace to be built is 1,000 sq.m. or more, or where the site area is 1 hectare or more.

Minor application -
Where the development does not meet the requirement for a major application nor the definitions of Change of Use or Householder Development.

Other applications -
All other applications, excluding TPO's, Telecommunications, Reserve Matters and Observations.

## Page 77

## DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE STATISTICS

## GRANTED / REFUSAL RATES FOR DECISIONS

## March 2012 Performance

In March 2012, excluding Certificate of Lawfulness applications, there were 158 applications determined of which:
$84 \%$ were granted ( 132 out of 158)
$16 \%$ were refused ( 26 out of 158 )

## April 2012 Performance

In April 2012, excluding Certificate of Lawfulness applications, there were 106 applications determined of which:
$74 \%$ were granted (78 out of 106)
$26 \%$ were refused (28 out of 106)

## Year Performance - 2011/12

In the financial year 2011/12 up to the end of March, excluding Certificate of Lawfulness applications, there were 1488 applications determined of which:
$78 \%$ were granted (1159 out of 1488)
$22 \%$ were refused (329 out of 1488)
The monthly refusal rate is shown on the following graph:
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## DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE STATISTICS

## LOCAL INDICATOR (FORMERLY BV204) APPEALS AGAINST REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

## March 2012 Performance

In March 2012 there were 11 planning appeals determined against Haringey's decision to refuse planning permission, with performance being as follows -
$9 \%$ of appeals allowed on refusals (1 out of 11 cases)
$91 \%$ of appeals dismissed on refusals (10 out of 11 cases)

## April 2012 Performance

No planning appeals were determined during April.

## Year Performance - 2011/12

In the financial year 2011/12, up to the end of March, there were 71 planning appeals determined against Haringey's decision to refuse planning permission, with performance being as follows -
$22 \%$ of appeals allowed on refusals (16 out of 71 cases)
$78 \%$ of appeals dismissed on refusals (55 out of 71 cases)
The monthly performance is shown in the following graph:
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## Last 12 months performance - May 2011 to April 2012

In the 12 month period May 2011 to April 2012 there were 66 planning appeals determined against Haringey's decision to refuse planning permission, with performance being as follows -
$24 \%$ of appeals allowed on refusals (16 out of 66 cases)
$76 \%$ of appeals dismissed on refusals (50 out of 66 cases)
The monthly performance for this period is shown in the following graph:
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## Background/Targets

This is no longer included in DCLG's National Indicator set. However it has been retained as a local indicator.

It sets a target for the percentage of appeals allowed against the authority's decision to refuse planning permission.

The target that was set by DCLG in 2007/08 was $30 \%^{\wedge}$
Haringey has set its own target for 2011/12 in relation to this local indicator. This is set out in P\&R Business Plan 2010-13.

The target set by Haringey for 2012/13 is $35 \%$
(^ The lower the percentage of appeals allowed the better the performance)
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Regulatory Committee 29 May 2012

## Building Control Performance Statistics

## March \& April 2012 Performance

In March \& April 2012 Building Control received 269 applications which were broken down as follows:-

78 Full Plans applications;
113 Building Notice applications;
77 Initial Notices and
1 Regularisation application.

The trend for the number of Full Plan applications received in 2012-13 and for the pervious four years is shown on the following graph:


The trend for the number of Building Notice applications received in 2012-13 and for the pervious four years is shown on the following graph:
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Performance on applications received in January \& February was as follows:
March: $\quad 88 \%$ of applications were validated within 3 days (against a target of 85\%)

April: $\quad 93 \%$ of applications were validated within 3 days (against a target of 85\%)

The monthly performance is shown in the following graph:
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In terms of applications which were vetted and responded to, performance in January \& February was as follows:

March: $\quad 90 \%$ were fully checked within 15 days (against a target of 85\%)
April: $\quad 90 \%$ were fully checked within 15 days (against a target of $85 \%$ )
The monthly performance is shown in the following graph:


Within the same period, Building Control also received:
Notification of 33 Dangerous Structures - 100\% of which were inspected within the target of 2 hours of receiving notification, and

35 Contraventions - $100 \%$ of which were inspected within the target of 3 days of receiving notification.

Also in March \& April 2012, there were 93 commencements and 1069 site inspections were undertaken to ensure compliance with the Regulations.

In terms of site inspections, in March \& April 2012 the average number of site visits per application was $3.7 \& 4.7$ (against a target of 5). The monthly figures are shown in the following graph:
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For an explanation of the categories see Appendix A
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## Appendix A

Explanation of categories

Full Plans applications -

Building Notice -

Regularisation application -

Validation -

Site Inspections -

Applications for all types of work, where the applicant submits fully annotated drawings and details that are required to be fully checked by Building Control. When these are checked in the majority of cases a letter is sent to the applicant or their agents requesting clarification and/or changes to be made to the application in order to achieve compliance;

Applications for residential work only, where the applicant only has to submit the Notice and basic details, most of the compliance checks are carried out through site inspections;

Where works are carried out without an application having been made the owner may be prosecuted. However to facilitate people who wish to have work approved, in 1999 Building Control introduced a new process called Regularisation. A regularisation application is a retrospective application relating to previously unauthorised works i.e. works carried out without Building Regulations consent, started on or after the 11 November 1985. The purpose of the process is to regularise the unauthorised works and obtain a certificate of regularisation. Depending on the circumstances, exposure, removal and/or rectification of works may be necessary to establish compliance with the Building Regulations;

All applications that are received have to be validated to ensure that the application is complete and ready to be formally checked;

Inspections carried out by Building Control to ensure compliance with the Building Regulations and/or in the case of Dangerous Structures, inspections in order to determine the condition of the structure being reported as dangerous.
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| Dangerous Structures - | Building Control are responsible for checking all <br> notified dangerous structures on behalf of the <br> Council within 2 hours of notification, 24 hours a <br> day 365 days a year; |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contraventions - | Contraventions are reports of works being <br> carried out where no current Building Control <br> application exists. |
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## PLANNING COMMITTEE STATS FOR COMMITTEE MEEETING March 2012

## S. 330 - REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION SERVED

## None

## ENFORCEMENT NOTICES SERVED (S188)

1. 12 Chesthunte Road N17- Breach of Article 4 Direction (UPVC windows)
2. 2 Birkbeck Road N17- Change of use to 2 flats
3. 3 Curzon Road N10- Erection of satellite dish
4. 232 Philip Lane N15- Erection of outbuilding
5. 79 High Cross Road- Change of use from C 2 to C 2 A secure unit
6. 111 Turnpike Lane N8- change of use from 2 to 3 flats
7. 86 Lansdowne Road N17- change of use to 6 flats
8. 4 Sutherland Road N17- change of use to 6 flats
9. 12 Willoughby Road N8- change of use to 7 flats and erection of outbuilding

## BREACH OF CONDITION NOTICE SERVED

None

## TEMPORARY STOP NOTICES SERVED

None

## PLANNING CONTRAVENTION NOTICES SERVED

1. Oliver Court 113 Cornwall Road N15 - flat conversion
2. 25 Jansons Road N15- conversion to flats
3. 34 Gladesmore Road N15 Conversion to flats
4. 86 Seymour Avenue N17-Conversion to flats
5. 91 Belmont Road N17- Conversion to flats

## SECTION 215 (Untidy Site) NOTICE SERVED

## None

## PROSECUTIONS SENT TO LEGAL

## None

## APPEAL DECISIONS

1. 11 Burgoyne road N4 Change of use to 9 flats- DISMISSED
2. 18 Ashmount Road N15 Change of use to 3 flats- DISMISSED
3. 2 Kings Road- Listed Building Enforcement Notice- DISMISSED
4. 36 Malvern Road N15-0 Change of use as 2 flats- DISMISSED

## PROSECUTION OUTCOMES

1. 13 Bounds Green Road- change of sue to 5 self-contained flats- Found Guilty. Fined $£ 5000 £ 2795$ costs awarded

## CAUTIONS

1. 19 Warham Road N4- Change of use to 2 flats Simple Caution accepted $£ 1000$ costs paid
2. 74 Umfreville Road N4-Change of sue to 5 flats and erection of dormer- Caution accepted and $£ 1330$ costs paid
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Agenda item:
Report Title. Planning Enforcement Update- Year Report 2011-12

Contact Officer : Marc Dorfman, Assistant Director, Planning and Regeneration Telephone 02084895538

| Wards(s) affected: All | Report for: Non-Key Decision |
| :--- | :--- |

1. Purpose of the report
1.1. To inform Members on Planning Enforcement's progress in maintaining service delivery 2011/12.
2. State link(s) with Council Plan Priorities and actions and /or other Strategies:
2.1. Enforcement of planning control plays a role in delivering policy objectives of the Council's Unitary Development Plan and the future Local Development Framework
2.2. The Council's Enforcement Strategy has an explicit objective to prevent unauthorised use and non permitted development and seek to reverse this when it occurs taking formal enforcement action when expedient to do so.
2.3. The Appeal process is a reflection of the strength of planning policies and planning decisions taken within PRE. Its effective management and ability to defend the above policies and decisions is a clear indication of the health of the Business Unit.
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## 3. Recommendation

3.1. That member's note the year performance for 2012/12 for Planning Enforcement and Appeals.

## 4. Reason for recommendation

4.1. Good progress continues with maintaining the number of open cases at a manageable level, which were 280 at $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2012. This year has seen a significant increase in the enforcement notices issued (84 up from 68) and Appeal lodged 43 up from 32).

## 5. Other options considered

5.1. Not applicable

## 6. Summary

6.1. This report advises members on service performance for 2011/12 and the teams' incorporation into Development Management within the Planning regeneration and Economy Business Unit from 16 May 2011 and the incorporation of the management of the appeal service from September 2011

## 7. Chief Financial Officer Comments

7.1Planning Enforcement now forms part of the Planning, Regeneration and Economy business unit within Place and Sustainability and the staffing budget for the posts in this team is $£ 193,100$. The costs of preparing this report have been contained within existing budgets.

## 8. Head of Legal Services Comments

8.1 The Head of Legal Services notes the contents of this report

## 9. Equalities \& Community Cohesion Comments

9.1 There are no equalities, and community cohesion issues raised by this report as it updates members on Planning Enforcement's performance April-June 2011 inclusive.
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## 10. Consultation

10.1 The report identifies steps to consult service users.

## 11. Service Financial Comments

11.1 The service will continue to ensure that the Planning Enforcement and Appeals caseload remains manageable and seeks to maintain the good performance of both services. The Appeal services involves all Planning Officers and the planning enforcement team has currently three dedicated Planning Officers and a Team Leader. Since late March 2012 a planner involved in the enforcement of issues along Tottenham High Road and its surrounds has been appointed as part of the Tottenham Project. The workload has remains consistently high throughout 2011-12.

## 12. Use of appendices /Tables and photographs

Appendix 1 - The number of open cases by the year received
Appendix 2 - April-March 2011-12 Breakdown of Cases by Breach
Appendix 3 - April-March 2011-12- Enforcement action and Appeals by Type of Breach
Appendix 4-Planning and Enforcement Appeals Received and Determined 2011-12
Appendix 5-Planning and Enforcement Appeal by type 2011-12
Appendix 6- Planning and Enforcement Appeals by way of determination 2011-12
Appendix 7 - April-March 2011-12 Planning Enforcement Performance indicators
Appendix 8 - April-Sept 2011 Outcomes of Planning Enforcement Closed Cases
Appendix 9 - Table showing planning enforcement prosecution \& caution outcomes

## 13. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

13.1 Planning Enforcement Case files held by the Team Leader for Planning Enforcement, and Appeal case files by the Head of DMPE

## 14. Planning Enforcement Performance

14.1 Appendix 1 provides a table showing cases still open by the year the case was opened. Our current caseload is 280 . These include 81 cases received up to 2010/11 which remain open or $28 \%$ of the total. Only 21 cases remain open from before 1st April 2009 which are the more complex cases ( $7.5 \%$ of total live cases). All of these cases are at an advanced stage and actions against these are ongoing. The overall caseload compares favourably with the end of 2010-11 when the overall caseload was 241 when one considers the increase in Notices issued and subsequent appeals lodged against them.

## Page 92

14.2 Appendices 2 and 3 break down the cases by nature of the breach and formal enforcement action taken. There is likely to be some error estimated at $5-10 \%$ as some of the breaches alleged on investigation turn out to be a different type of breach. One of the most common is where an extension is logged as unauthorised development. It is also considered that breaches of Article 4 direction may also be underrepresented due to the reporting of cases. This in part explains the high return for general unauthorised development cases at $32 \%$ of the whole caseload. However of note is $23 \%$ of cases are for alleged HMO/flat conversion.
14.3 With regard to formal enforcement action (where Enforcement Notices are issued), the dominance of cases regarding unauthorised conversions to flats or unauthorised HMOs are found is reflected in the fact that although these account for $64 \%$ of all Notices issued. Where appeals are lodged the numbers are even more dominant with $43 / 33$ or $77 \%$ of appeals lodged for this type of breach. Breaches of Article 4 directions, breaches of condition or satellite dishes did not attract an appeal at all despite some 11 Notices being issues for these types of breach.
14.5 With regard to Appeals performance, Planning Appeals indicate good returns with only $23 \%$ of appeals upheld by the Planning Inspectorate. The figure for Householder appeals at $88 \%$ is even more impressive when set against the performance indicator of $35 \%$ and the London average of over 30\%. Planning Enforcement appeals also recorded a good return with only $11 \%$ or 4 appeals upheld by the Planning Inspectorate. This compares well with 15\% upheld in 2010-11 from fewer determined appeals. (Appendices 4,5 and 6)
14.4 Appendix 7 deals with Planning Enforcement's performance indicators. Performance remains broadly consistent across the suite of indicators. It is noted that $42 \%$ of cases were resolved within 8 weeks, an increase from $41 \%$ for 2010-11. With regard to 6 month closures this remains at $77 \%$, slightly below the $80 \% \mathrm{PI}$. This is explained in part by the high degree of formal enforcement action and number of quite difficult cases which could not be resolved within this timeframe. ENFPLAN 5 and ENFPLAN 6 both show high returns with regard to cases acknowledgement and initial site visit within timescale.
14.3 Customer feedback response remained very low and did not provide any real insight into general perception by service users. It is considered necessary to discuss with Service Management how the response rate could be improved going forward.
14.4 Appendix 8 is a table of closed cases in the first half of 2011/12 by outcomes. Of the cases closed $54 \%$ were due to no breach, or those allowed under permitted development rights. Of the cases closed, only $6 \%$ was due to immunity from enforcement action. Of $18 \%$ of the cases closed, it was considered that enforcement action was not expedient, lower than the $19 \%$ in terms of proportion and lower overall figure than was recorded for 2010-11. The proportion of cases closed through remediation regularisation or compliance increased to $22 \%$ from the $20 \%$ recorded for 2010-11.
14.5 Appendix 9 is a table of planning enforcement prosecution and caution outcomes. Good process through prosecution cases has been made. For 2011-12, the total fines accrued for convictions were $£ 59,400$ and the total costs awarded to the Council was
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$£ 12,477$. Costs recovered by the Council when defendants accepted a simple caution in lieu of prosecution was $£ 14,100$.

## Other matters

14.6 Some of the more difficult cases involving problematic landlords have seen progress made during 2010-11. At present the current status is as follows:

- 11 Burgoyne Road
- 69 Effingham Road
- 13 Harringay Gardens
- 10 Woollaston Road
- 23 Hewitt Road
- 89 Burgoyne Road

Enforcement Notice upheld on appeal. Compliance works have commenced
Enforcement Notice upheld on appeal. Compliance works agreed to commence summer 2012
Enforcement Appeal withdrawn. Compliance works agreed to commence autumn 2012
Enforcement Appeal upheld on appeal. Implementing planning permission for 2 flats commenced

Prosecuted and convicted twice. Schedule of compliance works to commence June

Prosecuted and convicted twice. Schedule of compliance works to commence June
14.7 It is worth noting that all of the above can be prosecuted further should compliance works which have been agreed stall for insufficient reason. However it is anticipated that the considerable previous work should realise full compliance before the end of
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Appendix 1 - Table demonstrating Planning Enforcement Caseload

| Year | No. cases <br> opened for <br> investigation | No. of cases <br> remaining open |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2001 / 2002$ | 401 | 0 |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 782 | 0 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 881 | 0 |
| sub total 2001/2 - 2003/4 | 2064 | 0 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 899 | 1 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 941 | 4 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 687 | 1 |
| sub total 2004/5-2006/7 | 2527 | $\mathbf{6}^{*}$ |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 919 | 2 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 1062 | 13 |
| sub total 2007/8 - 2008/9 | 1975 | 15 |
| $2009-2010$ | 881 | 18 |
| $2010-2011$ | 760 | 42 |
| $2011-2012$ (up to 30.09.11) | 718 | 1 |
| Total for all years | 8914 | 280 |
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## Appendix 2: Breakdown of Investigations by Type of Breach

| Type of Case | No of Cases | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AT4-Breach of Article 4 <br> direction | 18 | 3 |
| ADV-Advertisement | 15 | 3 |
| CON-Breach of <br> Condition | 24 | 3 |
| COU-Change of Use | 73 | 10 |
| DEP-Departure from <br> Plans | 66 | 9 |
| EXT-Extension | 46 | 6 |
| FCV-Conversion to flats | 149 | 21 |
| HMO-House in Multiple <br> Occupation | 13 | 2 |
| LBW-Listed Building | 11 | 2 |
| SAT-Satellite Dish | 29 | 4 |
| SOC-Social Club | 4 | 0 |
| TPC-Works to Trees | 26 | 4 |
| UNT-Untidy Land | 1 | 0 |
| UPW-Place of Worship | 5 | 32 |
| UNW-Unauthorised <br> Development | $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ |  |
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Appendix 3: Enforcement Action by Case and Appeals Lodged 2011-12

| Type of <br> Breach | Number | Percentage | Appealed | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CON | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| AT4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| FCV/HMO | 54 | 64 | 33 | 77 |
| LBW | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| SAT | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| COU | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| ADV | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| UNW/EXT | 12 | 13 | 6 | 15 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4: Planning and Enforcement Appeals Received and Determined 201112

|  | Planning Appeals | $\%$ | Planning <br> Enforcement <br> Appeals | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Received | 96 | 100 | 43 | 100 |
| Determined | 89 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Dismissed | 65 | 73 | 23 | 66 |
| Allowed | $21(+1$ split decision) | 23 | 4 | 11 |
| Withdrawn | 3 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| Notice <br> withdrawn | Not applicable | n/a | 3 | 9 |

Appendix 5: Determination of Planning Appeals by Type 2011-12

|  | Planning <br> Appeals | $\%$ | Householder <br> Appeals | \% | Conservation <br> and LB <br> Appeals | \% | LDC <br> Appeals | \% | Tree <br> Appeals | \% | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Received | 64 | 67 | 26 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Determined | 54 | 60 | 28 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Dismissed | 35 | 64 | 25 | 89 | 1 | 50 | 3 | 75 | 1 | 100 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Allowed | 17 | 31 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Withdrawn | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Total | 54 | 100 | 28 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 100 | 1 | 100 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
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Appendix 6: Appeals by Method of Determination 2011-12

| Appeals lodged | By Written <br> Representations | By Hearing | By Public <br> Inquiry | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Planning | 91 | 2 | 3 | 96 |
| Enforcement | 34 | 0 | 9 | 43 |
| Appeals <br> determined | By Written <br> Representations | By Hearing | By Public <br> Inquiry | TOTAL |
| Planning | 89 | 2 | 2 | 96 |
| Enforcement | 35 | 0 | 2 | 32 |

Appendix 7 Table indicating Performance indicators for Planning Enforcement 2011-12

| Table of performance indicators |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Indicator Number | Performance Indicator description | Performance Indicator target | Performance Output April June 2011 |
| ENF PLAN 1 | Successful resolution of a case after 8 weeks | 40\% | 41\% (274 from 666 cases closed) |
| ENF PLAN 3 | Customer satisfaction with the service received | To be determined | $10 \%$ of closed cases to be contacted by the service manager |
| ENF PLAN 4 | Cases closed within target time of 6 months | 80\% | 77\% (512 out of 666 cases closed |
| ENF PLAN 5 | Cases acknowledged within 3 working days | 90\% | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \text { ( } 681 \text { out } \\ & \text { of } 712 \text { cases) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ENF PLAN 6 | Planning Enforcement Initial site inspections $3,10,15$ working days | 90\% | 95\% (440 from 462) cases initial visit within the time period) |
| Performance Indicator Number | Performance Indicator description | Performance output April 2011 -March 2011-12 |  |
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| ENF PLAN 7 | Number of Planning Contravention <br> Notices served | 118 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ENF PLAN 8 | Number of Enforcement Notices Served | $84(3 \mathrm{BCNs})$ |
| ENF PLAN 9 | Number of enforcement notices appealed | 43 |
| ENF PLAN 10 | Number of enforcement notices <br> withdrawn by Council | 8 |
| ENF PLAN 10a | Number of Enforcement Appeals Allowed | 5 |
| ENF PLAN 10b | Number of Withdrawn Appeals | 5 |
| ENF PLAN 10C | Number of Notice Appealed withdrawn | 3 |
| ENF PLAN 11 | Number of prosecutions for non- <br> compliance with enforcement notice | 15 |
| ENF PLAN 12 | Number of Notices (Other) served | 5 |

## Appendix 8 - Table showing Outcomes of Planning Enforcement Closed Cases AprilSeptember 11

| Closure reason | Output April-Sept 2011 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No breach/Permitted Development | $363(54 \%)$ |
| Not expedient | $118(18 \%)$ |
| Compliance/ <br> Remediation/Regularisation | $149(22 \%)$ |
| Immune from enforcement action | $43(6 \%)$ |
| Total | 673 |

## Appendix 9: Prosecutions and Outcomes 2011-12

| No | Client Department, address and Lead Officer) | Legislation (inc section) prosecution under | Breach Address | Latest Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fortune Gumbo | s179 TCPA 1990 | 31 Siward Road N17 | Warrant Case. No progress |
| 2 | Myles Joyce | S179 TCPA 1990 | 646 Green Lanes | Hearing 1.2.12 |
| 3 | Myles Joyce | S179 TCPA 1990 | 76 Scales Road | Complied. Trial 29.6.12 |
| 4 | Fortune Gumbo | S179 TCPA 1990 | 60 St Pauls Road n17 | Hearing 1.2.12 |
| 5 | Abby Oloyede | S179 TCPA 1990 | 143-5 Philip Lane | Prosecuted and Convicted £1250 Fine $£ 902$ costs. Negotiation with Conservation and application submitted |
| 6 | Abby Oloyede | S179 TCPA 1990 | 2 Moorefield Road | Convicted and fined $£ 2000$ and $£ 2073$ cots |
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|  | Myles Joyce | S179 TCPA 1990 | 19 Warham Road | ComplianceCaution to be accepted 28.3.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fortune Gumbo | S179 TCPA 1990 | 181 Tower Garden Road N17 | Simple caution accepted and $£ 570$ costs paid |
| 7 | Fortune Gumbo | s181 TCPA 1990 | 13 Bounds Green Road | Found guiltyFined £5000 and costs £2073. <br> Defendants have case stated in high court |
| 8 | Fortune Gumbo | s181 TCPA 1990 | 13 Bounds Green Road | Prosecution for outbuilding separate from above. Bundle submitted April 2012 |
| 9 | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 13 Whitley Road | Trial 25.1.12 Found guilty and fined £5000x3 £2000 costs in total. Appeal lodged to be heard on $21^{\text {st }}$ May 2012 |
|  | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 216 West Green Road | Complied simple caution accepted £650 costs paid |
|  | Myles Joyce | S179 TCPA 1990 | 646 Green Lanes | Complied. Simple caution and $£ 890$ costs paid |
| 11 | Fortune Gumbo | s179 TCPA 1990 | 38 Thackerary Avenue | Convicted and fined $£ 15000$ costs $£ 645$. Compliance |
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|  |  |  |  | visit required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | Fortune Gumbo | s179 TCPA 1990 | 100 Myddleton Road | Prosecuted and Convicted. Further action required as no compliance |
| 13 | Fortune Gumbo | s179 TCPA 1990 | 25 Cumberton Road | Convicted and <br> Fined £600 <br> costs <br> awarded. To close |
|  | Fortune Gumbo | s179 TCPA 1990 | 22 Cumberton Road | Warrant Case. Complied |
| 14 | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 2 Goodwyns Vale | Found guilty. Case in <br> Crown Court for <br> Confiscation under <br> Proceeds of Crime Act. <br> Matter listed in Wood Green Crown Court for final hearing on $2^{\text {nd }}$ July 2012. |
| 15 | Lorcan Lynch | s179 TCPA 1990 | 9 Heybourne Road | Pleaded of guilty. Case in Crown Court for <br> Confiscation under <br> Proceeds of Crime Act Hearing August 2012 |
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| 16 | Lorcan Lynch | s179 TCPA 1990 | 1 Bruce Castle Road | Found guilty Case in Crown Court for <br> Confiscation under Proceeds of Crime Act. Hearing August 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 74 Umfreville Road | Compliance. Simple caution to be accepted $28^{\text {th }}$ March $2012$ |
| 17 | Lorcan Lynch | s179 TCPA 1990 | 98 Hewitt Avenue | Already convicted. LBA for $2^{\text {nd }}$ prosecution to be sent |
| 18 | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 23 Hewitt Avenue | Convicted for $2^{\text {nd }}$ time $£ 8000$ fine $£ 11617$ costs. Letter before action brought response and schedule of works for compliance June -August 2012 |
| 19 | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 89 Burgoyne Road | Convicted $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> time $£ 8000$ <br> fine Letter before action brought response and schedule of works for compliance June -August 2012 |
|  | Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 180 Park Lane N17 | Complied with |
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| Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 22 Black Boy Lane | Caution <br> Accepted <br> October |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 75 Hermitage Road | Caution Accepted Costs £2070 paid to Council for 5 and 6 |
| Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 11 Burgoyne Road N4 | Prosecution withdrawn |
| Lorcan Lynch | s179 TCPA 1990 | 8 Harringay Gardens | Compliance secured $£ 2500$ costs paid to Council |
| Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 101 Lealand Road N15 | Prosecuted and Convicted in Crown Court. Conditional discharge |
| Fortune Gumbo | s179 TCPA 1990 | rear of 110-118 Myddleton Road | Cautioned £1480 costs paid |
| Myles Joyce | s179 TCPA 1990 | 403 Lordship Lane | Prosecuted and Convicted £300 fine and $£ 1500$ costs. Notice complied with |
| Lorcan Lynch | s179 TCPA 1990 | 22 Gladesmore Road | Notice complied with after conviction |
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